

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/789,985 03/02/2004 Kenichi Hayashi 03500.017948 9668 EXAMINER 5514 7590 08/11/2006 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO NICHOLSON III, LESLIE AUGUST 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER NEW YORK, NY 10112 3651

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/789,985	HAYASHI ET AL.	HAYASHI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Leslie A. Nicholson III	3651			

	Leslie A. Nicholson III		3651	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet wit	th the co	rrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 31 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLO	OWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complianc time periods:	ring replies: (1) an amendm ice of Appeal (with appeal	nent, affid fee) in co	avit, or other eviden mpliance with 37 Cf	ce, which R 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date ster than SIX MONTHS from th	ne mailing o	date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (1) TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6.07(f). on which the petition under 37 ension and the corresponding hortened statutory period for rethan three months after the manual contents.	CFR 1.130 amount of eply origina	6(a) and the appropriat the fee. The appropri ally set in the final Offic	e extension fee ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.3)	7(e)), to a	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a filed aft	nsideration and/or search (s w);	see NOTE	E below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 	·			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-25. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:) □ will ˈ	be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of fil I sufficient reasons why the	ling a Not e affidavit	ice of Appeal will <u>no</u> or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections unde	er appeal	and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims	s after ent	try is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the appli	ication in	condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:		11	(s) NFORD NT EXAMINER	
	SUPERVISION	.,, 2711 22		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The newly amended claims fail to overcome prior art found by the Examiner upon further searching.